



1191988

RE: EPA Cement Creek FSP

William Simon to: Forrest.Sabrina

10/25/2010 07:32 PM

From: "William Simon" <wsimon@frontier.net>

To:

Cc: "Peter Butler " <pbutler@wildblue.net>

Sabrina, I talked with the contractor this afternoon - they will use the basement meeting room. I fairly well cleaned up the lab Saturday; some more work needs doing.

Thank you for your kind words. I hope to be an asset in some fashion or other as the group feels fit.

I for one am interested in hearing more about bias sampling and hazard ranking. Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov

[mailto:Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2010 11:47 AM

To: William Simon

Subject: RE: EPA Cement Creek FSP

Bill, I realize it may need done anyway, but you may not need to hire anyone to clean that room just yet if START can just use the basement area for their work.

I wish you the best Bill, and although I fully understand and appreciate your need to take care of yourself and know you need to step away from the Coordinator position because of your health, it saddens me. You are such an incredible asset. I hope that you will be able to share your knowledge with others through writing or teaching somehow.

Regarding the bias sampling, I could give a brief talk on the Hazard Ranking System at a meeting sometime. If you think that would be helpful, have Peter put in on an agenda.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest

NPL Coordinator &

Site Assessment Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B

Denver, CO 80202-1129

Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use,

or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

From: "William Simon" <wsimon@frontier.net>
To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/21/2010 11:08 AM
Subject: RE: EPA Cement Creek FSP

Sabrina, you have addressed my concerns adequately although I need some education about the bias sampling referred to in #2 below. I will need to seriously clean the lab before use as a window was broken out and the dust storm completely infiltrated the space - it needs to be done anyway but I might need to hire someone given my condition. Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2010 10:23 AM
To: William Simon
Cc: Steve Fearn; Peter Butler ; megan_dudevair@urscorp.com;
andrew_longworth@urscorp.com; Bryan_Williams@urscorp.com
Subject: RE: EPA Cement Creek FSP

Hi Bill, I spoke with Peter regarding the fact that we both had not gotten your comments and Peter tried to let me know what he recalled yours involved. I have responded to them below. I am heading out of the office in a bit so that I make the ARSG meeting tonight. I plan to have 4 or 5 copies of the FSP; however, only 3 copies of the revised large figures could get made.

Sincerely,
Sabrina Forrest
NPL Coordinator &

Site Assessment Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B
Denver, CO 80202-1129
Direct Ph: 303-312-6484
Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484
Fax: 303-312-6065
Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If

you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

From: "William Simon" <wsimon@frontier.net>
To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: "Peter Butler " <pbutler@wildblue.net>, "Steve Fearn" <fearneng@rmi.net>
Date: 10/20/2010 07:50 PM
Subject: RE: EPA Cement Creek FSP

I'm sending this again; apparently Steve received it but Peter and Sabrina did not. Bill

Sabrina, Quick review of the plan yielded the following comments:

1. I don't get it. In the problems etc. table it only identifies the Henrietta for sampling for PCB's. Same stated for section 6.3.3. The Gladstone area mines should be sampled for PCB's. Oh, I see table 3 has PCB's listed for the mine sites. THAT WAS A COMPLETE MISUNDERSTANDING. I TRIED TO EXPLAIN THAT WE HAVE SEEN PCB STAINED SOILS AT THE HENRIETTA, AND THAT THERE IS ANECDOTAL EVIDENCE OF PCB OIL CONTAINING EQUIPMENT HAVING BEEN USED IN THE MORE PRODUCTIVE MINES. START THOUGHT I WANTED TO FOCUS ON HENRIETTA. I CORRECTED THEM, TOLD THEM WE HAVE NO INTEREST IN CHARACTERIZING THAT DRAINAGE; OUR FOCUS STILL BEING THE SITES IN UPPER CEMENT CREEK ABOVE GLADSTONE. THE REVISED FSP FIXED ALL THAT AND HAD PCB ANALYSIS ADDED FOR WASTE/SOURCE SAMPLES AND ALL THE SEDIMENTS.
2. SW samples: shouldn't they be depth/width integrated at locations short

distances from inflows where mixing is not complete? WHEN SAMPLING TO FILL DATA GAPS FOR THE HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM MODEL, WE NEED TO BIAS THE SW/SED SAMPLES. OUR OBJECTIVE IS NOT TO QUANTIFY THE AVERAGE ACROSS THE STREAM BANK TO BANK, BUT TO BIAS OUR CHARACTERIZATION OF WHAT IS COMING OFF A PARTICULAR SOURCE OR INFLOW OF INTEREST, SO WE FOCUS ON THE DEPOSITIONAL AREAS.

3. See my "comments" as tool edited comments plus additions/deletions.
OK - IF THERE

4. TAL metals include antimony I guess but not Molybdenum of which WQCC recently has adopted standards. Shouldn't that be included? (thanks Peter!). WE ARE HAVING THE WHOLE TAL APPLIED; HOWEVER, I ASKED THE LAB COORDINATOR TO FOCUS ON MAKING SURE OUR REPORTING LIMITS (ESP. FOR Cd) WERE ADEQUATELY LOW FOR Al, Sb, As, Ba, Ca, Cu, Cd, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Mo, Zn,

5. Will your contractor be taking these samples? Do you or they need the

use of our lab or my office? YES, START WILL BE DOING THIS AND BIG THANKS!! - I AM SURE THAT THEY WILL NEED THE SPACE. HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO COORDINATE GETTING THEM ACCESS TO YOUR SPACES?

6. Soil samples: these are just grabs? Or hopefully they are composited appropriately. I WAS NOT HAPPY WITH THE ONE SOLID SAMPLE ORIGINALLY SCHEDULED. START UPPED THOSE NUMBERS TO FIVE SAMPLES FOR MINE WASTE SOURCE AREAS. AN ARTIFACT OF THE HRS AGAIN....WE LIKELY WON'T

COMPOSITE. WHEN THE SITE GETS SCORED, WE LOOK AT THE HIGHEST CONCENTRATION DETECTED IN SOURCES. WE COULD ANALYZE THEM ALL SEPARATELY AND ALSO SEND A COMPOSITE OFF FOR ANALYSIS, BUT IF A SITE DOES GET PROPOSED, REVIEWERS AND COMMENTERS WANT TO KNOW WHAT CONCENTRATION IS ASSOCIATED WITH WHAT INDIVIDUAL SAMPLE.

7. The maps have several inaccuracies but not related to the sample plan. I saw a few in the text as well but only in the boiler plate and/or historical review sections - nothing that important that I saw. WILL IT BE OKAY IF WE NOTE AND MAKE THE CORRECTIONS IN OUR SAMPLING ACTIVITIES REPORT AND THE ANALYTICAL RESULTS REPORT?

Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2010 4:38 PM
To: wsimon@frontier.net; fearneng@rmi.net; pbutler@wildblue.net;
czillich@fs.fed.us; barbara_hite@blm.gov
Cc: grenadierglassworks@yahoo.com; kirstin.brown@state.co.us;
Barbara.Nabors@state.co.us; doug.jamison@state.co.us;
craig.gander@state.co.us
Subject: EPA Cement Creek FSP

All, Here is the Sampling Plan that the EPA contractor has put together for early November's event. This focuses on Cement Creek sources, surface water and sediments that all need to get characterized for CERCLA Site Assessment. Bill, I will let you determine if others from your larger stakeholder email list should get this too.

I need to have your review and comments completed by next week, preferably by Wednesday the 20th. I will send two larger maps separately.

(See attached file: Figure1SiteLocation_map.pdf) (See attached file: Upper Animas FSP text.doc)

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest

NPL Coordinator &

Site Assessment Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B
Denver, CO 80202-1129
Direct Ph: 303-312-6484
Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484
Fax: 303-312-6065
Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me

immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

[attachment "Upper Animas FSP text.doc" deleted by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US]